

Független hivatkozások jegyzéke

- I. **Falk, A., Gaechter, S., Kovács, J.(1999): Intrinsic motivation and extrinsic incentives in a repeated game with incomplete contracts. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 20, 251-284.**

Idézik:

1. Deckinson, D., Villeval, M.C. (2008). Does monitoring decrease work effort? The complementary between agency and crowding-out theories. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 63/1, 56-76.
2. Houser, D., Xiao E., McCabe, K. et al. (2008). When punishment fails: Research on sanctions, intentions and noncooperation. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 62/2, 509-532.
3. Delfgaauw, J., Dur, R. (2007): Signaling and screening of workers' motivation. *Journal of Economic behavior & organization*, 62/4, 605-624.
4. Nwogugu, M. (2006): Employee stock options, production/service functions and game theory. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 181/1, 552-562.
5. Prescott JJ, Starr S: Improving criminal jury decision making after the Blakely revolution. *University of Illinois Law Review* (2): 301-356.2006
6. Fehr, E., Schmidt, K.M. (2006): The Economics of Fairness, Reciprocity and Altruism- Experimental Evidence and New Theories. In: Kolm, S-C., Ythier, J. M. (eds): Handbook of the Economics of Giving, Altruism and Reciprocity, Volume 1. . 2-76.
7. Lazzarini SG, Miller GJ, Zenger TR (2004):[Order with some law: Complementarity versus substitution of formal and informal arrangements](#), *Journal of Law Economics & Organization*, 20 (2), 261-298.
8. Kirchler, E., Hölzl, E. (2003): Economic Psychology. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 18, 29-81.
9. Johnson. J.T., Barksdale, H.C., Boles, J.S. (2003): [Factors associated with customer willingness to refer leads to salespeople](#) ; *Journal of Business Research*, 56 (4) 257-263.
10. Jolls, C. (2002). [Fairness, minimum wage law and employee benefits](#) ; *New York University Law Review*, 77/1 ; 47-70.
11. Fehr, E., Fischbacher, U. (2002). [Why social preferences matter - The impact of non-selfish motives on competition, cooperation and incentives](#). *Economic Journal*, 112 (478): C1-C33.

12. Bohnet, I., Frey, B.S, Huck, S. (2001). [More order with less law: On contract enforcement, trust, and crowding](#) . *American Political Science Review*, 95 (1): 131-144.

II. Güth, W., Kovács, J. (2000): Is more power better? An experimental study of vetoing in ultimatum bargaining. Paper presented at the IAREP/SABE conference 2000 in Baden/Vienna.

Idézik:

1. Backes-Gellner, U., Bessey, D., Pull, K., Tuor, S.N. (2008): What Behavioural Economics Teaches Personnel Economics. University of Zurich Institute for Strategy and Business Economics Paper No. 77.. omn the *Social Science Research Network*.
2. Pull, K. (2003). Ultimatum Games and Wages: Evidence of an „Implicit Bargain”? *Schmalenbach Business Review*. 55. 161-171.

III. Güth, W. and Kovacs, J., 2001. Why do people veto? An experimental analysis of varying degrees of veto power. *Homo Oeconomicus* XVIII 2, 277–302

Idézik:

1. Fellner, G., Güth, W. (2003). What limits escalation?- Varying threat power in an ultimatum experiment. *Economic Letters*, 80/1, 53-60.
2. Güth, W., Pull, K. (2004). Will equity evolve? : an indirect evolutionary approach. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 20/1, 273-282.
3. Güth, W., Ivanova-Stenzel, R., Tjotta, S. (2004). Please, Marry Me! An Experimental Study of Risking a Joint Venture. *Metroeconomica*, 55/1. 1-21.
4. Güth, W., Schmidt, C., Sutter, M. (2003). Fairness in the Mail Opportunism in the Internet: A Newspaper Experiment on Ultimatum Bargaining. *German Economic Review*, 4/2, 243-265.

IV. Güth, W., Königstein, M., Kovács, J., Zala-Mezo, E. (2001).Fairness within Firms: The Case of One Principal and Multiple Agents.*Schmalenbach's Business Review*, 53, 82-101.

Idézik:

1. Charness, G., Kuhn, P. (2006). Does Pay Inequality Affect Worker Effort? Experimental Evidence. Working Paper Series, available on *Social Science Research Network*.

2. Fehr, E., Klein, A., Schmidt, K. (2007). Fairness and contract design. *Econometrica*, 75/1. 121-154.
3. Fehr, E., Schmidt, K. M. (2004). Fairness and Incentives in a Multi-task Principal-Agent Model. *Scand. J. of Economics*, 106/3. 453-474.
4. Gaechter, S., Fehr, E. (2001). Fairness in the Labour Market- A Survey of Experimental Results. In: Bolle, F., Lehmann-Waffenschmidt, M. (eds.): Surveys in Experimental Economics. Bargaining, Cooperation and Election Stock Markets. Physica Verlag
5. Harbring, C., Irlenbusch, B. (2008). How many winners are good to have? On tournaments with sabotage. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*. 65/3-4. 682-702
6. Maximiano, S., Sloof, R., Sonnemans, J. (2007). Gift Exchange in a Multi-Worker Firm. *The Economic Journal*, 117/522. 1025-1050.
7. Meidinger, C., Rulliere, J-L., Villeval, M.C. (2003). Does Team-Based Compensation Give Rise to Problems When Agents Vary in Their Ability? *Experimental Economics*, 6/3., 253-272.
8. Weitzel, T. (2004). Economics of Standard in Information Networks. Springer. 250.

V. **Konigstein, M., Kovács, J., Zala, E. (2003). : Fairness in a one-principal-two agents game- a post-experimental questionnaire analysis. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 24/4., 491-503.**

Idézik:

1. Zvaliauskas, G. (2004): Application of ex ante measures in political delegation. The case of Lithuania. *Journal of Baltic Studies*, 35/3, 254-277.

VI. Mező E., Kovács J. (1999). Szervezeti igazságosság. *Pszichológia*, 1, 125-161.o.

Idézi:

1. Tarnai Márta (2003): A bizalom szerepe a gazdasági kapcsolatokban. In: Hunyady Gy., Székely M. (szerk.): *Gazdaságpszichológia*, Osiris. 698.o.

VII. Kovács J. (1999). A méltányosság elve a munkahelyi ösztönzésben. PhD. értekezés, Debrecen

Idézi:

1. Faragó Klára (2003): Etikai kérdések a gazdaságpszichológiában. In: Hunyady Gy., Székely M. (szerk.): *Gazdaságpszichológia*, Osiris. 745.o.